

# EAST PARK ENERGY

East Park Energy

EN010141

**Environmental Statement Volume 2 – Technical Appendices** 

Appendix 11-1: Air Quality Assessment Methodology

Document Reference: EN010141/DR/6.2

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009: Regulation 5(2)(a)

#### **EAST PARK ENERGY**

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

# **Environmental Statement Volume 2 – Technical Appendices**

# **Appendix 11-1: Air Quality Assessment Methodology**

APFP Regulation Reference:	Regulation 5(2)(a)	
Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference:	EN010141	
Application Document Number:	EN010141/DR/6.2	
Author:	Smith Grant LLP	

Version	Date	Status
P01	September 2025	DCO Submission

© AXIS P.E.D. Ltd 2025. All rights reserved.

This document and its accompanying documents contain information which is confidential and is intended only for the use of the client. If you are not one of the intended recipients any disclosure, copying, distribution or action taken in reliance on the contents of the information is strictly prohibited.

Unless expressly agreed, any reproduction of material from this document must be requested and authorised in writing from AXIS P.E.D. Ltd. Authorised reproduction of material must include all copyright and proprietary notices in the same form and manner as the original and must not be modified in any way. Acknowledgement of the source of the material must also be included in all references.



#### **CONTENTS**

1.0	Const	ruction Dust Assessment Methodology	. 3
1.1	Introdu	uction	. 3
1.2	Step 1	: Screening Assessment	. 3
1.3	Step 2	: Assess the Risk of Dust Impacts	. 4
1.4	Step 3	: Site-Specific Mitigation	. 9
1.5	Step 4	: Determine Significant Effects	. 9
2.0	Vehic	le Emissions Assessment Methodology	10
2.1	Introdu	uction	10
2.2	Scree	ning Assessment	10
3.0	Refere	ences	12
TABL	ES		
Table	1.1:	Examples definitions to define dust emission magnitudes for each activity	h
Table	1.2:	Example sensitivity of receptors to dust soiling effects	
Table	1.3:	Example sensitivity of receptors to PM10 health effects	
Table	1.4:	Example sensitivity of receptors to ecological effects	
Table	1.5:	Summary of Distances to Receptors Considered	
Table	1.6:	Example Summary of the Outcome of the Sensitivity of the Area	
Table	1.7:	Risk of Dust Impacts	



# 1.0 CONSTRUCTION DUST ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

#### 1.1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 The assessment of the air quality impacts due to the generation and dispersion of dust and PM<sub>10</sub> during the construction phase has been undertaken in accordance with the current guidance issued by the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) in relation to demolition and construction dust<sup>1</sup>.
- 1.1.2 The guidance describes a qualitative assessment methodology to assess the risks of dust impacts from demolition, earthworks, and construction activities and from trackout. It considers three separate dust impacts that may arise from each of the four activities, in the absence of mitigation:
  - Annoyance due to dust soiling;
  - The risk of health effects due to an increase in exposure to PM<sub>10</sub>; and
  - Harm to ecological receptors with account being taken of the sensitivity of the area that may experience these effects.
- 1.1.3 The assessment is used to determine appropriate site-specific mitigation measures to ensure that there will be no resulting significant effects. Once the risk of dust impacts has been determined in and the appropriate dust mitigation measures identified the final step is to determine whether there are residual significant effects arising from the construction phase of a proposed development.
- 1.1.4 The assessment methodology is summarised below but for full details reference should be made to the IAQM guidance.

## 1.2 Step 1: Screening Assessment

1.2.1 In accordance with the IAQM guidance, the following screening criteria are referred to indicate whether further detailed assessment is required:



- A human receptor within:
  - 250m of a site boundary; or
  - 50m of the route(s) used by construction vehicles on the public highway, up to 250m from the site entrance(s).
- An ecological receptor within:
  - o 50m of the boundary of the site; or
  - 50m of the route(s) used by construction vehicles on the public highway, up to 250m from the site entrance(s).
- 1.2.2 Where the need for further assessment can be screened out it can be concluded that the level of risk is negligible, and any effects would not be significant.

#### 1.3 Step 2: Assess the Risk of Dust Impacts

- 1.3.1 Where further assessment is required, this is undertaken through use of the source-pathway-receptor concept. The risk of dust arising in sufficient quantities from a site to cause annoyance and / or health or ecological impacts and resulting effects is dependent on:
  - the scale and nature of the works (potential **magnitude** of dust emissions);
  - the effectiveness of the pathway (i.e., dispersion towards a receptor; proximity of receptors); and
  - the sensitivity of the receptors, both human and ecological.

## **Step 2A – Define the Potential Dust Emission Magnitude**

1.3.2 A site is allocated a Potential Dust Emissions Magnitude of large / medium / small for demolition, earthworks, construction and track out taking into account factors such as the size of the site, type of soils, building volume, and vehicle movements. Examples are provided below:



Table 1.1: Examples definitions to define dust emission magnitudes for each activity

Activity	Dust Emission Magnitude			
	Large	Medium	Small	
Demolition	<ul> <li>&gt;75,000m³ build volume</li> <li>dusty material (e.g. concrete)</li> <li>on-site crushing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>between         12,000m³ and         75,000m³ build         volume</li> <li>potentially dusty         material</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>&lt;12,000m³ build volume</li> <li>low dust material</li> <li>occurs in winter</li> </ul>	
Earthworks	<ul> <li>&gt;110,000m² site area</li> <li>dusty soil type (e.g. clay)</li> <li>&gt;10 active plant</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>between         18,000m² and         110,000m² site         area</li> <li>possible dusty soil         type (e.g. silt)</li> <li>5-10 active plant</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>&lt;18,000m² site area</li> <li>large grain soil type (e.g. sand)</li> <li>&lt;5 active plant</li> </ul>	
Construction	<ul> <li>&gt;75,000m³ build volume</li> <li>onsite concrete batching</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>between         <ul> <li>12,000m³ and</li> <li>75,000m³ build</li> <li>volume</li> </ul> </li> <li>dusty construction material (e.g. concrete)</li> </ul>	<12,000m³ build volume     low dust construction material (e.g. metal)	
Trackout	<ul> <li>&gt;50 outward HDV movements</li> <li>unpaved road 100m</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>20-50 outward HDV movements</li> <li>unpaved road 50- 100m</li> </ul>	<ul><li>&lt;20 outward HDV movements</li><li>unpaved road &lt;50m</li></ul>	

# **Step 2B – Define the Sensitivity of the Area**

- 1.3.3 The impact of generated dust will depend on the sensitivity of an area. The sensitivity of the area is determined for dust soiling, human health, and ecological impacts respectively taking into account several factors, as follows:
  - the specific sensitivities of receptors in the area;
  - the number of those receptors;
  - the distance of the receptors from the dust source;
  - in the case of PM<sub>10</sub>, the local background concentrations; and



- site specific factors, such as whether there are natural shelters or screening e.g. trees to reduce the risk of wind-blown dust.
- 1.3.4 Examples of receptor sensitivities are summarised in the tables below.

Table 1.2: Example sensitivity of receptors to dust soiling effects

Sensitivity				
High	Medium	Low		
<ul> <li>Users expect high level of amenity</li> <li>Users continuously present</li> <li>Property appearance / value would be expected to be diminished by dust soiling</li> </ul>	Users expect reasonable level of amenity  Users are not present continuously  Property appearance / value might be expected to be diminished by dust soiling	<ul> <li>Users do not expect reasonable level of amenity</li> <li>Users present for limited time</li> <li>Property appearance / value would not be expected to be diminished by dust soiling</li> </ul>		
Examples				
Dwellings, car showrooms, long-term car parks, sensitive horticultural land	Places of work, parks	Short-term car parks, playing fields, footpaths, non-sensitive farmland, roads		

Table 1.3: Example sensitivity of receptors to PM<sub>10</sub> health effects

Sensitivity					
High	Medium	Low			
Exposure of members of the public for eight hours or more in a day	Exposure of workers for eight hours or more in a day	Exposure is transient			
Examples	Examples				
<ul> <li>Members of the public</li> <li>Dwellings, hospital, schools, care homes</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Workers</li><li>Offices, shops</li></ul>	Playing fields, footpaths, parks, shopping streets			

Note: assessment of sensitivity also takes into account local background PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations



Table 1.4: Example sensitivity of receptors to ecological effects

Sensitivity				
High	Medium	Low		
Locations with international or national designation where the designated feature may be affected by dust soiling  Locations with communities of dust-sensitive species	Locations with national designation where the designated feature may be affected by dust soiling Locations with important species where dust sensitivity is uncertain	Locations with local designation where the designated feature may be affected by dust soiling		

Note: assessment of sensitivity also takes into account local background PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations

1.3.5 Receptors are considered up to the following distances:

Table 1.5: Summary of Distances to Receptors Considered

	<b>Dust Soiling</b>	Human Health	Ecological
Demolition, earthworks, and construction	up to a distance of	250m from a site	up to a distance of 50m from a site
Trackout		of 50m from the edge of a road used for coand up to 250m from the site exit along that road	

1.3.6 The overall sensitivity of an area to dust soiling, health effects and ecological effects can therefore be assessed as summarised in the example table below.

Table 1.6: Example Summary of the Outcome of the Sensitivity of the Area

Potential	Sensitivity of the Surrounding Area			
Impact	Demolition	Earthworks	Construction	Trackout
<b>Dust Soiling</b>	High	High	High	Medium
Human Health	High	High	High	High
Ecological	Medium	Medium	Low	Low



### **Step 2C – Define the Risk of Impacts**

1.3.7 The overall risk of impacts for each activity considers the derived sensitivity of the area and the dust emission magnitude for each phase of the development, as summarised in the matrix below.

**Table 1.7: Risk of Dust Impacts** 

Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude					
	Large Medium		Small			
Demolition	Demolition					
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk			
Medium	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk			
Low	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible			
Earthworks						
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk			
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk			
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible			
Construction						
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk			
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk			
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible			
Trackout						
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk			



Sensitivity of Area	Dust Emission Magnitude			
	Large Medium Small			
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk	
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible	

1.3.8 Other factors such as local topography and prevailing wind direction are also considered.

### 1.4 Step 3: Site-Specific Mitigation

1.4.1 The dust risk categories for each of the four activities determined above in Step 2 are used to define the appropriate, site-specific mitigation measures that should be adopted. Guidance on appropriate mitigation measures is provided.

#### 1.5 Step 4: Determine Significant Effects

1.5.1 Once the risk of dust impacts has been determined in STEP 2C and the appropriate dust mitigation measures identified in STEP 3 the final step is to determine whether there are significant effects arising from the construction phase of a proposed development. For almost all construction activity, the aim should be to prevent significant effects on receptors through the use of effective mitigation. Experience shows that this is normally possible. Hence the residual effect will normally be 'not significant'. There may be cases however where even with mitigation measures in place there may be a significant effect.



# 2.0 VEHICLE EMISSIONS ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

#### 2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 The assessment of vehicle emissions associated with the Proposed Development has been undertaken in accordance with the IAQM planning guidance in relation to planning, air quality and human health<sup>2</sup>, and ecological receptors<sup>3</sup>. Relevant receptors include residential dwellings, schools and hospitals, areas of leisure use, and ecologically sensitive sites.

#### 2.2 Screening Assessment

- 2.2.1 The level of assessment required was determined through an initial screening review considering the predicted vehicle movements in association with the proposed activities, the routing of vehicles along the roads within the transport assessment study area and locations of sensitive receptors.
- 2.2.2 The following criteria were used to determine potentially affected roads:
  - LDV (Light Duty Vehicle) flow change by 500 AADT (annual average daily traffic) or more outside an AQMA (Air Quality Management Area), or 100 AADT or more within or adjacent to an AQMA;
  - HDV (Heavy Duty Vehicle) flows change by 100 AADT or more outside an AQMA, or 25 AADT or more within or adjacent to an AQMA;
  - Road alignment changing by 5m or more;
  - Introduction or removal of a junction.
- 2.2.3 Where these criteria are met <u>and</u> there are relevant receptors present further assessment is required. This may take the form of a Simple or Detailed Assessment. The IAQM guidance does not specify at what distance a receptor should be to an affected road to indicate the need for further assessment. However, pollution concentrations fall rapidly away from the roadside and are expected to return to background levels within 100m of a road source<sup>4</sup>. For the purposes of the assessment reference is made to



- Highways England (now National Highways) DMRB guidance<sup>5</sup> which requires assessment of receptors within 200m of affected roads.
- 2.2.4 Where there are no receptors within 200m of affected roads, these roads have not been considered further and potential impacts of vehicle emissions can be considered negligible and as having an insignificant effect.
- 2.2.5 Separate guidance is provided by Natural England (NE) in relation to ecological sites and is re-iterated in IAQM guidance<sup>6</sup>.
- 2.2.6 To assess whether further assessment in relation to ecological receptors was required reference was initially made to the following screening criteria:
  - Total vehicle flow change by 1,000 AADT or more; or HDV flows change by 200 HGV AADT or more, where an ecological receptor is located within 200m of the affected road.
- 2.2.7 With regards to the DCO application the screening assessment concluded that further consideration of vehicle emissions encompassing atmospheric dispersion modelling was not required.



#### 3.0 REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Air Quality Management (2024). *Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction*. Version 2.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Institute of Air Quality Management (2017). *Land-use Planning & Development Control: Planning for Air Quality*. Version 1.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Institute of Air Quality Management (2019). *A guide to the assessment of air quality impacts on designated nature conservation sites*. Version 1.0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Air Quality Consultants (2008). NO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations and Distance from Roads. Job no.: J504.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Highways England (2019). *Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB)*, *LA 105 Air Quality*. Revision 0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Natural England (2018). *Natural England's approach to advising competent authorities on the assessment of road traffic emissions under the Habitats Regulations*. Version 1.4.